

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.iispto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,344	12/20/2001	Hamish Alexander Nigel Kennedy	BALD118418	9622
26389	7590 03/27/2003			
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800		LEE, PATRICK J		
SEATTLE, W	SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		n h
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
. Office Action Summary	10/027,344	KENNEDY, HAMISH ALEXANDER NIGEL
· ·	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Patrick J. Lee	2878
Period for Reply	rears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was presented to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 L	December 2001	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	ı <b>.</b>	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 December 2001</u> is/ar		•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	ammer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		) (d) == (f)
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	i priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (i).
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	- have been received	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the prior</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/027,344

Art Unit: 2878

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 7-9, & 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Makihira et al 4,410,278.

With respect to claims 1 & 7-9, Makihira et al disclose an apparatus for appearance inspection comprising of light sources (31, 201, 50, 50', 53, 56) that shine light onto cylindrical pellet (1) that moves along chain conveyer (10), while being rotated by rollers (11a & 11b). Sensors (36, 57) serve as an analyzing means to receive light reflected from pellet (1) and aid in the processing of the information to determine whether the pellet (1) passes inspection. Mirror (55) and lenses (32, 34-35, 54, 56) along with light guides (51 and 51') serve as tracking means to help track a respective product during the movement.

With respect to claims 5 & 14, Makihira et al teach the use of two groups of light sources (one at position Q, the other at position R) in order to track the product sequentially.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,344

Art Unit: 2878

4. Claims 1 & 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Long 4,351,437.

With respect to claims 1 & 8-9, Long teaches a method and apparatus for the inspection of potatoes (11) that move along roller table (12). Cylindrical mirror (16) as a tracking means reflects light from light source (15) onto potatoes (11). Light reflects from the potatoes and hits mirror (17), which then directs the light to camera (18) as a detection means. Camera (18) then sends a signal to electronic signal processing unit (20). Camera (18) coupled with electronic signal processing unit (20) serve as a detector/analyzing means for sorting the good potatoes from those that are unsatisfactory.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 4, & 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makihira et al 4,410,278 in view of Majewski et al 4,994,661.

With respect to claims 2 & 10, Makihira et al teach the use of half mirror (55), but do not disclose the mirror as being able to move. Majewski et al disclose an apparatus for the stabilization of a laser beam with mirrors (26 & 28) controlled by deflection means (22 & 24). To incorporate the mirror system taught by Majewski et al into the tracking system taught by Makihira et al would have been obvious as doing so would

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/027,344

Art Unit: 2878

not only allow for control of the laser beam, it would allow for additional areas of the object in question to be inspected.

With respect to claims 4 & 11, Makihira et al does not teach the use of a plurality of mirrors rotatable around a common axis. However, such is known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill as modifying the teachings of Makihira et al accordingly would allow for the apparatus to illuminate all areas of the object while being able to keep the light source and sensors/analyzer disposed substantially in the same place.

7. Claims 3, 6, & 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makihira et al 4,410,278 in view of Schmutz 5,424,533.

With respect to claims 3, 6, & 12-13, Makihira et al teach the use of light source (31) and image sensor (36) located opposite from each other. However, Makihira et al do not disclose the use of a light switching means and multiple collectors of light. Schmutz teaches an optical switch (80) that is able to dispose light sources (120 & 125) and detector assemblies (90 & 105) in relatively close contact. To modify the teachings of Makihira et al by those of Schmutz would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill as doing so would have allowed the size of the apparatus to decrease and to perhaps use fewer light sources, resulting in lower energy consumption of the device, while not sacrificing the imaging performance of the device.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,344

Art Unit: 2878

Page 5

Conway et al 3,930,994 teach a method and means for inspection and sorting of

produce.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick J. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 305-3871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-9558 for regular communications and (703) 306-5511 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

PJL

March 19, 2003

Patrick J. Lee Examiner Art Unit 2878

DAVID PORTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800